

No. ID/FD/106-83/45448.—Whereas the Governor of Haryana is of the opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the workman Shri Shiv Nath, and the management of M/s Vardhman Spinning and General Mills, Ltd., (Rolling Mill Division) Plot No. 264, Sector 24, Faridabad, regarding the matter hereinafter appearing ;

And whereas the Governor of Haryana considers it desirable to refer the dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (d) of sub-section (1) of section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 the Governor of Haryana hereby refers to the Industrial Tribunal, Haryana, Faridabad constituted under section 7-A of the said Act, the matter specified below, being either matters in dispute or matters relevant to or connected with the dispute as between the said management and the workman for adjudication :—

Whether the termination of service of Shri Shiv Nath, was justified and in order ? If not, to what relief is he entitled ?

The 6th September, 1983

No. ID/AMB/265-83/45704.—Whereas the Governor of Haryana is of the opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the workman Shri Subash Chand and the management of M/s Vishav Kiran Savings and Finance (P) Ltd., S.C.O. No. 2433-34, Sector 22-C, Chandigarh, regarding the matter hereinafter appearing ;

And whereas the Governor of Haryana considers it desirable to refer the dispute for adjudication ;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (c) of sub-section (i) of section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947, the Governor of Haryana hereby refers to the Labour Court, Faridabad, constituted,—vide Government notification No. 11495-G-Lab/57/11245, dated 7th February, 1958 read with notification No. 5414-3Lab-68/15254, dated 20th June, 1968 under section 7 of the said Act, the matter specified below being either matter in dispute or matter relevant to or connected with the dispute as between the said management and workman for adjudication :—

Whether the termination of service of Shri Subash Chand was justified and in order ? If not, to what relief is he entitled ?

No. ID/AMB/195-83/45762.—Whereas the Governor of Haryana is of the opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the workman Shri Randhir Singh and the management of M/s The Radaur Cane Growers Cooperative Society Ltd., Radaur District, Kurukshetra, regarding the matter hereinafter appearing ;

And whereas the Governor of Haryana considers it desirable to refer the dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (c) of sub-section (i) of section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947, the Governor of Haryana hereby refers to the Labour Court, Faridabad constituted,—vide Government notification No. 11495-G-Lab/57/11245, dated 7th February, 1958 read with notification No. 5414-3Lab-68/15254, dated 20th June, 1968 under section 7 of the said Act, the matter specified below being either matter in dispute or matter relevant to or connected with the dispute as between the said management and workman for adjudication :—

Whether the termination of service of Shri Randhir Singh was justified and in order ? If not, to what relief is he entitled ?

No. ID/AMB/128A/83/45768.—Whereas the Governor of Haryana is of the opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the workman Shri Kehar Chand and the management of M/s The Radaur Cane Growers Cooperative Society Ltd., Radaur District, Kurukshetra, regarding the matter hereinafter appearing ;

And whereas the Governor of Haryana considers it desirable to refer the dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (c) of sub-section (i) of section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947, the Governor of Haryana hereby refers to the Labour Court, Faridabad, constituted,—vide Government notification No. 11495-G-Lab/57, 11245, dated 7th February, 1958 read with notification No. 5414-3Lab-68, 15254, dated 20th June, 1968 under section 7 of the said Act, the matter specified below being either matter in dispute or matter relevant to or connected with the dispute as between the said management and workman for adjudication.

Whether the termination of service of Shri Kehar Chand was justified and in order ? If not, to what relief is he entitled ?